

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF ONCOLOGY AND SURGICAL CASE REPORTS

Dietary Patterns and Cancer Incidence in European and Mediterranean Countries: A Comparative Analysis

Joyce

Department of Medicine, USA

***Corresponding Author:**

Joyce

Department of Medicine, USA

Received Date: 13 Feb 2026

Accepted Date: 06 Mar 2026

Published Date: 11 Mar 2026

Citation: Joyce. Dietary Patterns and Cancer Incidence in European and Mediterranean Countries: A Comparative Analysis. AJOSCR. 2025; 1: 1-5

1. Abstract

1.1. Aims: The objective of this study was to examine the relationship between dietary patterns, geographic latitude, and economic status with cancer incidence in European and Mediterranean countries.

1.2. Methods: This observational study analyzed country-level data on food consumption patterns, cancer incidence, and socioeconomic indicators. Dietary structures were evaluated using average food consumption levels (grams per person per day) and categorized into four main groups: animal-based products, grains and vegetables, fruits and beverages, and alcoholic drinks.

1.3. Results: Compared with Mediterranean countries, European countries showed a higher incidence of several cancer types, which appeared to be associated with both per capita income and geographical latitude. In European countries, five cancer types were significantly influenced by per capita income alone, while another five were primarily associated with latitude. Seven cancer types showed no association with either factor. The dietary patterns of both regions were strongly related to economic conditions. As income increased in European countries, the proportion of animal products and alcoholic beverages in the diet also increased ($p = 0.004$). Furthermore, the intake of animal-derived macronutrients in European countries was approximately 1.5–3 times greater than in Mediterranean countries and correlated significantly with income levels ($p = 0.001$).

1.4. Conclusion: Economic development strongly influences dietary composition in both European and Mediterranean countries. Higher consumption of animal products and alcohol, which is more common in higher-income regions, may contribute to increased

cancer risk. The source and composition of dietary macronutrients play a crucial role in shaping dietary patterns associated with cancer incidence.

2. Keywords: Dietary patterns, cancer incidence, Europe, Mediterranean diet, nutrition epidemiology

3. Introduction

Chronic noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) represent one of the most serious global health challenges. Each year, approximately 15 million people between the ages of 30 and 69 die from NCDs, with cancer accounting for nearly 8.8 million deaths worldwide. In many developed countries, cancer has become a leading cause of morbidity and mortality.

Previous research has shown that populations in Mediterranean regions tend to have lower rates of certain diseases, including breast cancer and Alzheimer's disease. These health benefits have frequently been attributed to the Mediterranean diet, which typically contains lower levels of animal protein and higher proportions of unsaturated fatty acids.

Nutritional patterns during early life may also influence susceptibility to chronic diseases later in life. Diets high in calories and saturated fats have been identified as potential risk factors for several types of cancer. However, the specific role of different macronutrient sources—such as fats, carbohydrates, and proteins—in cancer development remains unclear.

Some studies suggest that Western dietary patterns, which emphasize animal products and processed foods, may increase the risk of diseases such as breast cancer. At the same time, food consumption habits are influenced by complex behavioral and environmental factors. Because diet is a modifiable factor, understanding its role in disease risk is essential for developing preventive strategies.

Although observational studies are sometimes criticized for their limitations, they remain valuable for examining large datasets and identifying population-level patterns in nutrition and health outcomes. Therefore, the primary objective of this study was to compare cancer incidence in European and Mediterranean countries and evaluate how economic conditions and geographic latitude influence dietary patterns and disease risk.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Study Design

This investigation was conducted as an observational cross-

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF ONCOLOGY AND SURGICAL CASE REPORTS

country study.

4.2. Data Sources

Cancer incidence data were obtained from the GLOBOCAN database and standardized by age per 100,000 population. Additional health and socioeconomic indicators, including life expectancy, were retrieved from international statistical databases.

Information on food consumption was obtained from the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). Dietary data were expressed both as total consumption (grams per person per day) and as percentage contributions from four major food groups:

1. Animal products
2. Cereals and vegetables
3. Fruits and non-alcoholic beverages
4. Alcoholic beverages

4.3. Socioeconomic Indicators

To evaluate national development levels, several indicators were included:

- Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita
- Human Development Index (HDI)
- Healthcare performance indicators
- Environmental performance indices
- National happiness index

Geographical location was determined based on the latitude of each country.

4.4. Statistical Analysis

Statistical comparisons between country groups were performed using the nonparametric Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon test for independent samples, as many variables were not normally distributed.

Data distributions were summarized using medians and interquartile ranges (IQR). All analyses were performed using statistical software.

5. Results

5.1. Differences Between European and Mediterranean Countries

Sixteen European countries were compared with sixteen Mediterranean countries. On average, per capita income in European countries was approximately twice that of Mediterranean countries. European countries were generally located at higher latitudes, whereas Mediterranean countries were situated closer to the equator. Despite differences in economic and geographic conditions, overall disease prevalence and life expectancy were relatively similar between the two regions. However, indices related to healthcare quality, environmental efficiency, and general wellbeing were higher in European countries.

5.2. Cancer Incidence Patterns

The analysis revealed that the incidence of several cancer types—

including brain, breast, colorectal, kidney, melanoma, pancreatic, prostate, and testicular cancers—was significantly higher in European countries.

Conversely, certain cancers such as nasopharyngeal cancer were more prevalent in Mediterranean regions. Other cancers, including liver, lung, stomach, and thyroid cancer, showed no statistically significant differences between the two groups.

5.3. Metabolic Risk Factors

A large proportion of the population in both regions had body mass index (BMI) values above 25 kg/m². However, elevated blood cholesterol levels and hypertension were more common in European populations.

Low physical activity was also common in both regions, affecting approximately half of the population.

5.4. Dietary Structure

Clear differences were observed in dietary patterns between European and Mediterranean countries.

European diets contained:

- Higher proportions of animal-based foods
 - Greater alcohol consumption
 - Lower intake of grains and vegetables
- Mediterranean diets contained:
- Higher proportions of cereals, vegetables, and plant-based foods
 - Lower levels of animal products and alcohol

Interestingly, total daily caloric intake did not differ significantly between the two groups. However, the sources of calories differed substantially.

European diets contained significantly higher levels of animal-derived macronutrients such as fats and proteins.

6. Discussion

This study evaluated how economic conditions and geographic location influence dietary habits and cancer incidence.

One notable finding was that although European countries generally had higher living standards and longer life expectancy, they also showed higher rates of several cancer types. This pattern may be partly explained by differences in dietary structure.

Higher income levels were associated with increased consumption of animal products and alcoholic beverages, which are known risk factors for certain cancers. At the same time, plant-based foods such as grains and vegetables were consumed less frequently in these regions.

The analysis also suggested that some cancer types may be influenced by latitude-related environmental factors. For example, cancers of the gastrointestinal tract showed stronger associations

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF ONCOLOGY AND SURGICAL CASE REPORTS

with geographic location.

In contrast, other cancers appeared unrelated to income or latitude and may be influenced by other factors such as viral infections or genetic susceptibility.

Overall, the findings support the widely accepted view that diets emphasizing plant-based foods, healthy fats, and reduced intake of red meat and alcohol may help reduce cancer risk.

7. Conclusion

This study highlights several key findings:

1. Certain cancers in European countries are influenced by both economic conditions and geographic latitude.
2. Dietary structure and macronutrient composition are strongly associated with national income levels.
3. Diets containing high proportions of animal products and alcohol may increase the risk of several cancer types.

These results emphasize the importance of promoting balanced dietary patterns—similar to the Mediterranean diet—to reduce cancer risk and improve public health outcomes.

References

1. WHO. 2014 Non-communicable diseases, Fact sheet. 2017.
2. Mokdad AH, Dwyer-Lindgren L, Fitzmaurice C, Stubbs RW, Bertozzi-Villa A, et al. Trends and Patterns of Disparities in Cancer Mortality among US Counties, 1980-2014. *JAMA*. 2017; 317: 388-406.
3. Fung TT, Hu FB, Holmes MD, Rosner BA, Hunter DJ, Colditz GA, et al. Dietary patterns and the risk of postmenopausal breast cancer. *Int J Cancer*. 2005; 116: 116-121.
4. Grant WBA. Multi country ecological study of cancer incidence rates in 2008 with respect to various risk-modifying factors. *Nutrients*. 2013; 6: 163-189.
5. Grant WB. Trends in diet and Alzheimer's disease during the nutrition transition in Japan and developing countries. *J Alzheimer's Dis*. 2013; 38: 611-620.
6. Radkevich LA, Radkevich DA. Structure of nutrition and risk of breast cancer: Research and practice in medicine. 2016; 3: 30-41.
7. Radkevich LA, Radkevich DA. The dietary patterns are a modifying risk factor for breast cancer: An ecological study. *Dokl Biol Sci*. 2017; 472: 21-27.
8. Willett WS. Mediterranean diet and fracture risk. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2016; 176: 652-653.
9. Michels KB, Mohllajee AP, Roset-Bahmanyar E, Beehler GP, Moysich KB. Diet and breast cancer: a review of the prospective observational studies. *Cancer*. 2007; 109: 2712-2749.
10. Roseboom TJ, van der Meulen JHP, Osmond C, Barker DJP, Ravelli ACJ, Schroeder-Tanka JM, et al. Coronary heart disease after prenatal exposure to the Dutch Famine 1944-1945. *Heart*. 2000; 84: 595-598.
11. Piruzyan LA, Gyulazizova KS, Nikolaeva IS, Kabankin AS, Sukhinina GP, Pynko NE, et al. Genetic and ecological factors of risk and stability to breast cancer: Technologies of living systems. 2010; T. 7. № 5. P. 3-13.
12. Radkevich LA, Piruzyan LA, Nikolaeva IS, Kabankin AS, Sintsov AV, Radkevich DA, et al. Cancer and environmental factors. *Dokl Biol Sci*. 2013; 450: 149-154.
13. Inga Kadish, Ashish Kumar, Ulrika Beitnere, Emily Jennings, William Mc Gilberry, Thomas van Groen, et al. Dietary composition affects the development of cognitive deficits in WT and Tg AD model mice: *Exp Gerontol*. 2016; 86: 39-49.
14. Lofley AC, Root MM. Macronutrients Association with Change in Waist and Hip Circumference Over 9 Years. *J Am Coll Nutr*. 2017; 36: 57-63.
15. Smith AD, Herle M, Fildes A, Cooke L, Steinsbekk S, Llewellyn CH, et al. Food fussiness and food neophobia share a common etiology in early childhood: *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2017; 58: 189-196.
16. Harvie M, Howell A, Evans DG. Can diet and lifestyle prevent breast cancer: what is the evidence? *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book*. 2015; e66-73.
17. Wright CE, Harvie M, Howell A, Evans DG, Hulbert-Williams N, Donnelly LS, et al. Beliefs about weight and breast cancer: an interview study with high risk women following a 12 month weight loss intervention. *Hered Cancer Clin Pract*. 2015; 13: 1.
18. Hebert JR, Miller DR. Methodologic considerations for investigating the dietcancer link. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1988; 47: 1068-1077.
19. Howe GR, Hirohata T, Hislop TG, Iscovich JM, Yuan JM, Katsouyanni K, et al. Dietary factors and risk of breast cancer: combined analysis. 1990; 82: 561-569.
20. Ferlay J, Shin H.R, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. GLOBOCAN 2008: Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC CancerBase No. 10. [(accessed on 29 August 2013)]. //*Int. J. Cancer*. 2010 127 Available online.
21. World Health Organization. The global burden of disease: 2004 update. Geneva, WHO. 2008. World Health Organisation Mondiale de la Santé Department of Measurement and Health Information. 2009.
22. World Population Prospects United Nations. 2005-2010.
23. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Food Balance Sheets.
24. Bushuev VV, Golubev VS, Korobeinikov AA, Selyukov G. Human capital for the socio-humanitarian development. Moscow: IATSE nergiya. 2008.
25. The Legatum Prosperity Index. The Legatum Institute. 2016.

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF ONCOLOGY AND SURGICAL CASE REPORTS

26. Environmental Performance Index. 2016.
27. The Happy Planet Index: 2012 Report. A global index of sustainable wellbeing / S. Abdallah, J. Michaelson, S. Shah, L. Stoll and N. Marks. United Kingdom, London. 2012.
28. WHO (World Health Organization). Average daily ambient ultraviolet radiation (UVR) level.
29. Global Health Observatory (GHO) data; Indicator and Measurement Registry version 1.7.0 BMI \geq 25; total cholesterol \geq 5.0; blood glucose \geq 7.0; insufficiently active. 2008 //WHO (World Health Organization) Percentage of defined population Programme Country 2008.
30. Barrett DH, DeStefano F, Devine OJ, Morris RD. The Centers for Disease Control Vietnam Experience Study. 1989.
31. Ziehr DR, Mahal BA, Aizer AA, Hyatt AS, Beard CJ, D'Amico AV, et al. Income inequality and treatment of African American men with high-risk prostate cancer. *Urol Oncol.* 2014. 2015; 33: 18.e7-18.e13.
32. Distelhorst SR, Cleary JF, Ganz PA, Bese N, Camacho-Rodriguez R, et al. Breast Health Global Initiative Global Summit on Supportive Care and Quality of Life Consensus Panel Members. Collaborators (50), Optimization of the continuum of supportive and palliative care for patients with breast cancer in low-income and middle-income countries: executive summary of the Breast Health Global Initiative. 2014. *Lancet Oncol.* 2015; 16: e137-147.
33. Markt SC, Tang T, Cronin AM, Katz IT, Howitt BE, Horowitz NS, et al. Insurance status and cancer treatment mediate the association between race/ethnicity and cervical cancer survival. 2018; 13: e0193047.
34. Daniel LC, Barakat LP, Brumley LD, Schwartz LA. Health-related hindrance of personal goals of adolescents with cancer: The role of the interaction of race/ethnicity and income. *J Clin Psychol Med Settings.* 2014; 21: 155-164.
35. Rothberg MB, Hu B, Lipold L, Schramm S, Jin XW, Sikora A, et al. A risk prediction model to allow personalized screening for cervical cancer. *Cancer Causes Control.* 2018; 29: 297-304.
36. Fidler MM, Soerjomataram I, Bray F. A global view on cancer incidence and national levels of the human development index. *Int J Cancer.* 2016; 139: 2436-2446.
37. Anisimov VN, Borisenkov MF. Economic and Climatogeographic Life and Morbidity of Malignant Novelties in Men. *Oncology.* 2012; 58: 175-180.
38. Chetty R, Stepner M, Abraham S, Lin S, Scuderi B, Turner N, et al. Cutler D. The Association between Income and Life Expectancy in the United States. 2001-2014. *JAMA.* 2016; 315: 1750-1766.
39. Santos CRD, Fonseca I, Dias S, Almeida JCMD. Plasma level of LDLcholesterol at diagnosis is a predictor factor of breast tumor progression. *BMC Cancer.* 2014; 14: 132.
40. Morote J, Celma A, Planas J, Placer J, Torres ID, Oliván M, et al. Role of serum cholesterol and statin use in the risk of prostate cancer detection and tumor aggressiveness. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2014; 15: 13615-13623.
41. Guler E, Col N, Buyukcelik M, Balat A. Prevalence of hypertension determined by Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitoring (ABPM) and body composition in long-term survivors of childhood cancer. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 2018; 35: 1-10.
42. Arani SH, Kerachian MA. Rising rates of colorectal cancer among younger Iranians: is diet to blame? *Curr Oncol.* 2017; 24: e131-e137.
43. Marinac CR, Birmann BM, Lee IM, Rosner BA, Townsend MK, Giovannucci E, et al. Body mass index throughout adulthood, physical activity and risk of multiple myeloma: a prospective analysis in three large cohorts. *Br J Cancer.* 2018; 118: 1013-1019.
44. Quagliariello V, Rossetti S, Cavaliere C, Di Palo R, Lamantia E, Castaldo L, et al. Metabolic syndrome, endocrine disruptors and prostate cancer associations: biochemical and pathophysiological evidences. *Oncotarget.* 2017; 8: 30606-30616.
45. Naudin S, Li K, Jaouen T, Assi N, Kyrø C, Tjønneland A, Overvad K, et al. Lifetime and baseline alcohol intakes and risk of pancreatic cancer in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition study. *Int J Cancer.* 2018; 143: 801-812.
46. Loo RL, Zou X, Appel LJ, Nicholson JK, Holmes E. Characterization of metabolic responses to healthy diets and association with blood pressure: application to the Optimal Macronutrient Intake Trial for Heart Health (Omni Heart), a randomized controlled study. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2018; 107: 323-334.
47. Sak K. Current epidemiological knowledge about the role of flavonoids in prostate carcinogenesis. *Exp Oncol.* 2017; 39: 98-105.
48. Erben V, Carr PR, Holleczeck B, Stegmaier C, Hoffmeister M, Brenner H4. Dietary patterns and risk of advanced colorectal neoplasms: A large population based screening study in Germany. *Prev Med.* 2018; 111: 101-109.
49. Fiolet T, Srour B, Sellem L, Kesse-Guyot E, Allès B, Méjean C, et al. Consumption of ultra-processed foods and cancer risk: results from NutriNetSanté prospective cohort. *BMJ.* 2018; 360: k322.
50. Tabung FK, Brown LS, Fung TT. Dietary Patterns and Colorectal Cancer Risk: A Review of 17 Years of Evidence (2000-2016). *Curr Colorectal Cancer Rep.* 2017; 13: 440-454.
51. Tabung FK, Liu L, Wang W, Fung TT, Wu K, Smith-Warner SA, et al. Association of Dietary Inflammatory Potential With

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF ONCOLOGY AND SURGICAL CASE REPORTS

- Colorectal Cancer Risk in Men and Women. *JAMA Oncol.* 2018; 4: 366-373.
52. Venø SK, Schmidt EB, Jakobsen MU, Lundbye-Christensen S, Bach FW, Overvad K. Substitution of Linoleic Acid for Other Macronutrients and the Risk of Ischemic Stroke. *Stroke.* 2017; 48: 3190-3195.
53. Albuquerque RC, Baltar VT, Marchioni DM. Breast cancer and dietary patterns: a systematic review. *Nutr Rev.* 2014; 72: 1-17.
54. Janakiram NB, Mohammed A, Madka V, Kumar G, Rao CV, et al. Prevention and treatment of cancers by immune modulating nutrients. *Mol Nutr Food Res.* 2016; 60: 1275-1294.
55. Rose DP, Vona-Davis L. Biochemical and molecular mechanisms for the association between obesity, chronic inflammation, and breast cancer. *Biofactors.* 2014; 40: 1-12.
56. Pimpin L, Jebb S, Johnson L, Wardle J, Ambrosini GL. Dietary protein intake is associated with body mass index and weight up to 5 y of age in a prospective cohort of twins. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2016; 103: 389-397.
57. Zamora-Ros R, Rinaldi S, Tsilidis KK, Weiderpass E, Boutron-Ruault MC, Rostgaard-Hansen AL, et al. Energy and macronutrient intake and risk of differentiated thyroid carcinoma in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition study. *Int J Cancer.* 2016; 138: 65-73.
58. Ding B, Xiao R, Ma W, Zhao L, Bi Y, Zhang Y. The association between macronutrient intake and cognition in individuals aged under 65 in China: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open.* 2018; 8: e018573.
59. Lane JA, Oliver SE, Appleby PN, Lentjes MA, Emmett P, Kuh D, et al. Prostate cancer risk related to foods, food groups, macronutrients and micronutrients derived from the UK Dietary Cohort Consortium food diaries. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2017; 71: 274-283.
60. Holmberg L, Van Hemelrijck M. The biology and natural history of prostate cancer: a short introduction. *Recent Results Cancer Res.* 2014; 202: 1-7.